



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*rw*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/948,756	10/10/97	ELLIS	UV-38

LM02/0224

G VICTOR TREYZ  
FISH & NEAVE  
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
NEW YORK NY 10020-1104

EXAMINER  
COULTER, K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2758	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/00

*12*

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
**08/948,756**

Applicant(s)  
**Ellis et al.**

Examiner  
**Kenneth Coulter**

Group Art Unit  
**2758**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kenneth Coulter (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Joo-Youn Park (Reg. No. P45,482) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 16, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 14, 27-31, and 44-47

Identification of prior art discussed:

Roop et al.; Pinder et al.; Aristides et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant reiterated that the configurable queues are located at the main facility level or the feed generator level, not at the set-top box level. Applicant agreed to modify the independent claims in order to clarify the claim language and in order to render mute the references used by the Examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

KENNETH R. COULTER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
*Kenneth Coulter*

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.